Rate coefficients of the aluminium monoxide formation by radiative association
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ABSTRACT

Radiative association may be a key pathway for the formation of aluminium monoxide (AlO) in diffuse interstellar clouds, especially for the oxygen-rich asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars. In this work, we investigated the radiative association of AlO by the collision of the aluminium and oxygen atoms in their electronic ground states, which is thought to be the most probable radiative association process. First, the potential energy curves for 12 electronic states and the dipole moments between these states were calculated by the state-of-the-art ab initio methodology. Then, the cross-sections and rate coefficients for the radiative association of AlO were computed for the temperatures in the range of 10–100 000 K. The total rate coefficients are of the order of $2.73 \times 10^{-19}–1.14 \times 10^{-16}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$, and the $\Lambda^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$, $1^2\Delta \rightarrow A^2\Pi$, $1^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$, and $2^2\Sigma^- \rightarrow A^2\Pi$ transitions play a key role. The calculated results can be used to investigate the chemical evolution of dust formation in the photospheres of the oxygen-rich AGB stars.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Aluminium monoxide (AlO) has long been a topic of interest for its astrophysical importance, since most studies assume the simplest oxide is the primitive gas precursor of aluminia seeds. Thus, it can be used to trace the aluminium oxide (Al$_2$O$_3$) dust formation site in the high-temperature region near many oxygen-rich asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars and open pathways to the formation of aluminium oxide clusters (Onaka, De Jong & Willems 1989; Speck et al. 2000; Van Heijnsbergen et al. 2003). So far, AlO molecules have been detected around oxygen-rich supergiants (Takigawa et al. 2017), VY Canis Majoris (Tenenbaum & Zijrys 2000), Mira variables (Merrill, Deutsch & Keenan 1962; Keenan, Deutsch & Garrison 1960), and R Aquarii (De Beck et al. 2017) at (sub)millimetre wavelengths.

Aluminium oxide is considered to play a significant role in dust formation (Banerjee et al. 2007), but it still has remained a significant conundrum as to how the processes control the formation of aluminium oxide dust. Therefore, as the abundant gas precursor, the formation process of AlO is required to investigate the evolution of aluminium oxide dust in the high-temperature circumstellar environments. One possible formation mechanism is the radiative association reaction Al + O $\rightarrow$ AlO + hv, which has been considered in many chemical networks of dust formation in the oxygen-rich AGB star (Cherchneff & Lilly 2008; Sarangi & Cherchneff 2013; Gobrech et al. 2016). In addition, atomic oxygen is very abundant in the O-rich zones, and its inclusion in clusters can proceed through slow reactions such as termolecular (cluster + O + M $\rightarrow$ [cluster + O] + M) and radiative association (cluster + O $\rightarrow$ [cluster + O] + hv) processes (Sarangi & Cherchneff 2013).

To accurately model the chemical networks of the alumina dust formation, the rate coefficients for radiative association processes of Al-containing molecules, such as AlO, AlN, AlF, and AlCl, have been calculated by a semiclassical (SC) method (Ceccatto 2012; Andreazza & de Almeida 2014; Andreazza, de Almeida & Vichietti 2018). These rates can be viewed as the direct contribution but the indirect contribution was not considered, which arises primarily from quantum mechanical (QM) tunnelling through the barrier in the effective potential but also from ‘overbarrier’ resonances (Nynan, Gustafsson & Antipov 2015). Besides, in the calculation of Ceccatto (2012), the extrapolated potential energy curves (PECs) from experimental data were used. However, only low-lying electronic states and low-energy levels have been measured in experiments, thus leading to the inaccurate and incomplete results of rates for AlO radiative association. In this work, we employ the state-of-the-art ab initio methodology to determine the PECs and the QM theory to calculate the rate coefficients for the radiative association of AlO. Both the direct and indirect contributions have been obtained by the QM theory.

For the radiative association process of AlO, we consider the collision of the aluminium and oxygen atoms in their electronic ground states, which is thought to be the most probable radiative association process (Ceccatto 2012). Therefore, 12 electronic states are produced. The strong spin-allowed transitions between these states are chosen to calculate the cross-sections and rate coefficients.
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2 METHODS

2.1 Potential energy and transition moment curves

The complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) method (Knowles & Werner 1985) in conjunction with the subsequent internally contracted MRCI approach (Knowles & Werner 1988; Werner & Knowles 1988) with the Davidson correction (icMRCI + Q) is used for the main calculations of the PECs with the aug-cc-pCVZ-DK basis set, including the core–valence correction (Woon & Dunning 1995) and scalar relativistic correction (de Jong, Harrison & Dixon 2001). The transition dipole moments (TDMs) and permanent dipole moments (PDMs) are also computed by the icMRCI + Q method with the aug-cc-pCVZ-DK basis set. These calculations are performed in the MOLPRO 2015 program package (Werner et al. 2015).

In the calculations, 12 inner electrons are put into six closed-shell molecular orbitals (MOs) in the symmetry representations of C₂: four a₁ orbitals, one b₁ orbital, one b₂ orbital, and no a₂ orbitals. The remaining nine electrons are put into eight outermost MOs, which constitute the active space: four a₁ orbitals, two b₁ orbital, two b₂ orbital, and no a₂ orbitals. The orbital set is called (8, 3, 3, 0). Using the obtained PECs, we can determine the dissociation energy Dᵣ, the electronic excitation energy with respect to the ground state Tₑ, the harmonic frequency ωₑ, the vibration coupling constant αₑ, the rotational constant Bₑ, and the first-anharmonic constants αₒXₑ.

To calculate the cross-sections, the PECs, TDMs, and PDMs are required to be extrapolated over short and long ranges of internuclear distances R. At large distances (R > 13 Å), the PECs are extrapolated by the following function:

\[
V(R) = -\frac{C₅}{R^5} - \frac{C₆}{R^6} + V(R \rightarrow \infty),
\]

where C₅ is the quadrupole–quadrupole electrostatic interaction and can be estimated by the method proposed by Chang (1967). For example, we used C₅ = −39.67 for the X²Σ⁺ and 1²Σ⁺ states, 26.45 for the 1⁵Π and 2⁵Π states, and −6.61 for the 1²Δ and 1⁴Δ states. C₆ is the dipole–dipole dispersion (van der Waals) coefficient and can be calculated by the London formula (London 1937)

\[
C₆ = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tau_{Al}\tau_{O}}{\tau_{Al} + \tau_{O}} \alpha_{Al}\alpha_{O},
\]

where τ is the ionization energy of the atom, which can be obtained from the NIST Atomic Spectra Data base (Kramida et al. 2021). α is the static dipole polarizability. Based on the coupled-cluster calculations, the polarizabilities of 5.24 and 58.44 au are calculated for the ground states of the oxygen and aluminum atoms, respectively (Das & Thakkar 1999; Fuentealba 2004). Therefore, C₆ = 70.20 au is obtained. For the short ranges, the PECs can be extrapolated to zero by the function V(R) = A exp (−BR) + C. The same extrapolation is used for the TDMs and PDMs.

2.2 Cross-sections and rate coefficients

The total rate coefficient αₒₐ for the formation of a bound molecule can be calculated by averaging cross-sections over a Maxwellian velocity distribution and given by

\[
\alpha_{ₒₐ}(T) = \sum_{\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'} \sigma_{\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'}(T)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'} \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi\mu(k_BT)}} \int_0^\infty E\sigma_{\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'}(E)e^{-E/k_BT}dE, \tag{3}
\]

where \(\sigma_{\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'}(T)\) are the rate coefficients for the specific electronic transition process, \(\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda', \mu\) is the reduced mass. \(\sigma_{\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'}(E)\) is the cross-section, as a function of the collision energy E. Based on the light-matter interactions and thermodynamic relations for the Einstein coefficient describing the spontaneous emission of a photon, the cross-sections can be computed by the QM method (Golubev et al. 2013; Nyman et al. 2015)

\[
\sigma_{\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'} = \sum_{J,J',J''} \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{64\pi^2}{k^2} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^3 f_\Lambda S_{\Lambda,J \rightarrow \Lambda',J''} |\langle \chi_{E,J}(R)|D(R)|\psi_{J',J''}(R)\rangle|^2, \tag{4}
\]
Table 1. Spectroscopic parameters of electronic states of AI2O obtained by the icMRCI + Q method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>States</th>
<th>$T_e$</th>
<th>$R_e$</th>
<th>$\omega_e$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\omega_e\chi_e$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$B_e$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$10^3\alpha_e$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X^2\Sigma^+</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>982.09</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>0.6361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expt</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6179</td>
<td>979.23</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>0.6413</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expt</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6178</td>
<td>979.49</td>
<td>7.012</td>
<td>0.6414</td>
<td>5.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expt</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>979.52</td>
<td>7.036</td>
<td>0.6417</td>
<td>5.934</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6092</td>
<td>989.2</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6156</td>
<td>1028.9</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>1169.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.623</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.628</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6207</td>
<td>979.23</td>
<td>7.0509</td>
<td>0.6403</td>
<td>6.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A^2\Pi</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>5234</td>
<td>1.770</td>
<td>739.69</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>0.5281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expt</td>
<td>5341.7</td>
<td>1.7708</td>
<td>728.5</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>0.5333</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expt</td>
<td>5407.73</td>
<td>1.7678</td>
<td>729.7</td>
<td>4.476</td>
<td>0.5372</td>
<td>5.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>2819</td>
<td>1.7648</td>
<td>747.4</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>3318.0</td>
<td>1.7780</td>
<td>762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>5050</td>
<td>1.777</td>
<td>7204.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>5190.4</td>
<td>1.7620</td>
<td>723.44</td>
<td>2.1823</td>
<td>0.5450</td>
<td>12.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1^2\Delta</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>32028</td>
<td>1.776</td>
<td>748.58</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>0.5257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>34750</td>
<td>1.747</td>
<td>816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>31852</td>
<td>1.786</td>
<td>719</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1^2\Sigma^-</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>32507</td>
<td>1.783</td>
<td>737.22</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>0.5252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>34950</td>
<td>1.747</td>
<td>851</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>32351</td>
<td>1.791</td>
<td>716</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1^2\Pi</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>32853</td>
<td>1.674</td>
<td>867.81</td>
<td>8.3090</td>
<td>0.6007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expt</td>
<td>33047</td>
<td>1.671</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>0.6007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>32875</td>
<td>1.679</td>
<td>846</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1^4\Sigma^+</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>27884</td>
<td>1.787</td>
<td>770.30</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>0.5289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>33100</td>
<td>1.723</td>
<td>581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>27222</td>
<td>1.776</td>
<td>723</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>26781.8</td>
<td>1.7591</td>
<td>770.11</td>
<td>5.3183</td>
<td>0.5426</td>
<td>4.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1^4\Delta</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>29807</td>
<td>1.787</td>
<td>768.49</td>
<td>9.0411</td>
<td>0.52445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>31700</td>
<td>1.750</td>
<td>834</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>29350</td>
<td>1.785</td>
<td>699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1^4\Pi</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>42228</td>
<td>1.710</td>
<td>752.94</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>0.5717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>43800</td>
<td>1.705</td>
<td>805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>41190</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>768</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>42060.3</td>
<td>1.6962</td>
<td>755.64</td>
<td>5.7260</td>
<td>0.5835</td>
<td>5.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1^4\Sigma^-</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>31168</td>
<td>1.794</td>
<td>737.91</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>0.5226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>33100</td>
<td>1.756</td>
<td>820</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calc</td>
<td>30678</td>
<td>1.788</td>
<td>690</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Potential energy curves and transition dipole moments

The PECs of 12 electronic states for AIO are calculated at the icMRCI + Q/aug-cc-pCV5Z-DK level of theory and plotted in Fig. 1. The PECs of the $1^2\Delta$ and $1^2\Sigma^-$ states are extremely similar. This is because the electron configurations of both states have nearly the same weigh. The fitted spectroscopic parameters are summarized in Table 1, along with the experimental and theoretical results. For the $X^2\Sigma^+$, $A^2\Pi$, and $1^2\Pi$ states, our calculated spectroscopic parameters are in quite good agreement with those determined experimentally (Huber & Herzberg 1979; Launila & Jonsson 1994; Saksena et al. 2008). The $T_e$, $R_e$, and $\omega_e$ values differ by a maximum of 194 cm$^{-1}$, 0.0072 Å, and 11.81 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The $1^2\Delta$ and $1^2\Sigma^-$ states have nearly the same PECs, thus resulting in similar spectroscopic parameters. The spectroscopic parameters calculated in this work also agree well with the relatively recent ones computed by Zenouda et al. (1999). For the quartet states, they have not been identified in the experiments so far, but our computed $T_e$ and $\omega_e$ values are within the range of other theoretical results (Schamps 1973; Zenouda et al. 1999; Liu et al. 2013).

There are numerous spin-allowed electric dipole transitions within the calculated electronic states, but the radiative association cross-sections depend on the square of the dipole moments and the third power of the electronic transition energies. Accordingly, the allowed transitions with large transition energies and dipole moments are chosen to be considered in this work, as listed in Table 2. Utilizing the icMRCI method with the aug-cc-pCV5Z-DK basis set, the TDMs and PDMs for the considered transitions between doublet and quartet states are computed, as shown in Figs 2 and 3. The TDMs of the $1^2\Delta \rightarrow A^2\Pi$ and $1^2\Sigma^- \rightarrow A^2\Pi$ systems are very similar, which is consistent with the similar electron configurations $(5\sigma^26\sigma^22\pi^57\pi^13\pi^1)$ of the $1^2\Delta$ and $1^2\Sigma^-$ states around their corresponding equilibrium internuclear distances.

3.2 Cross-sections

To verify the results obtained by the QM method, the cross-sections for the $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ and $2^2\Pi \rightarrow 1^2\Sigma^+$ transitions are also computed by the SC method, as shown in Fig. 4. The QM and SC cross-sections exhibit a good agreement over the entire energy interval, and the SC cross-sections almost reproduce the baseline of those determined by the QM method. However, the SC method could not produce the cross-sections at high collision energies due to the Franck–Condon principle (Franck & Dymond 1926; Condon
Besides, the resonance contribution is crucial at high collision energies but not considered in the SC method.

The radiative association cross-sections for each transition of AlO in Table 2 are calculated using the QM method, as shown in Figs 5 and 6. Note that the cross-sections exhibit many peaks that come from the resonances. In addition, we also explored the $1^2\Pi \rightarrow 1^2\Delta$, $1^3\Pi \rightarrow 1^3\Sigma^-$, $2^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow 1^2\Sigma^-$, $2^2\Sigma^- \rightarrow 1^2\Sigma^+$, and $2^4\Pi \rightarrow 1^4\Pi$ transitions but found their cross-sections were insignificant.

At low collision energies (<0.1 eV), the cross-sections for the $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ transition are large. As the energies increase, the cross-sections for 12 transitions, such as the $1^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$, $2^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow A^2\Pi$, $1^2\Delta \rightarrow A^2\Pi$, $2^2\Pi \rightarrow 1^4\Delta$, $2^2\Pi \rightarrow 1^4\Sigma^+$, and $1^4\Pi \rightarrow 1^4\Delta$ transitions, exhibit sharp jumps due to the barriers on the PECS of the $1^2\Sigma^-$, $1^2\Pi$, $1^2\Delta$, $2^2\Sigma^-$, $1^4\Pi$, and $2^4\Pi$ states in Fig. 1. For example, the cross-sections for the $1^2\Delta \rightarrow A^2\Pi$ and $2^4\Pi \rightarrow 1^4\Sigma^+$ transitions go up rapidly at the collision energies of about 0.084 and 0.732 eV, respectively. This behaviour can be generally found in other similar electronic transition systems, such as the D$^3\Delta \rightarrow A^1\Pi$ and e$^3\Sigma^- \rightarrow a^1\Pi$ transitions of MgO (Bai, Qin & Liu 2021) and the $1^4\Sigma^+ \rightarrow a^1\Sigma^+$ system of N$_2^+$ (Qin, Bai & Liu 2021). Finally, the results show that the cross-sections for the $1^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ and $2^2\Sigma^- \rightarrow A^2\Pi$ transitions are dominant for high energies. For the other six transitions, the cross-sections decrease monotonically as the energies increase.

3.3 Rate coefficients

The rate coefficients for the radiative association of AlO through 19 transitions are computed by averaging the cross-sections over a Maxwellian velocity distribution for temperatures in the range of 10–10000 K, as shown in Fig. 7. The rate coefficients for the transitions between doublet states are larger within the calculated temperature range. As expected from the cross-sections, the dominant transitions are the $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$, $1^2\Delta \rightarrow A^2\Pi$, $1^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$, and $2^2\Sigma^- \rightarrow A^2\Pi$ transitions. The rate coefficients for these four transitions and the total rate coefficients for all transitions are shown in Fig. 8.
where the $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ transition is dominant at low temperatures (<390 K), the $1^2\Delta \rightarrow A^2\Pi$ and $1^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ transitions dominate for the temperatures of 390–990 and 990–3530 K, respectively, and the $2^3\Sigma^- \rightarrow A^2\Pi$ transition is dominant at high temperatures (>3530 K).

Compared with the total rate coefficients from Ceccatto (2012), there is a discrepancy between our and Ceccatto’s results. Our results are larger at high temperatures due to the resonance contribution considered in our calculation. These resonance contributions are significant for the cross-sections at high collision energies, as shown in Figs 5 and 6. Another reason is that the rate coefficients calculated by Ceccatto (2012) considered only three transitions, including the $A^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$, $1^2\Pi \rightarrow A^2\Pi$, and $1^2\Pi \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ transitions. Meanwhile, the extrapolation of experimental data were employed in the calculation of Ceccatto (2012), which may lead inaccurate PECs on the high-energy levels because of only low-energy levels measured in experiments.

The total rate coefficients for the radiative association of AlO through all transitions in Table 2 can be approximated using the three-parameter Kooij function (within 1 percent), which is expressed as

$$k(T) = A \left( \frac{T}{300} \right)^\alpha e^{-\beta/T}. \quad (6)$$

where $A$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$ are fitting parameters. The rate coefficient curves are divided into three temperature ranges, and the fitting parameters are summarized in Table 3. The fitted rate coefficient deviates less than 1 percent from our calculated ones.
4 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we calculated the cross-sections and rate coefficients for the formation of AlO by radiative association of oxygen and aluminium atoms in their electronic ground states. As the basis for calculating cross-sections, the PECs for 12 electronic states and the TDMs and PDMs for 19 transitions were obtained by the icMRCI + Q/aug-cc-pVTZ-DK methodology. A large set of the PECs, TDMs, and PDMs can extend the available molecular data on AlO. The cross-sections for these transitions were then obtained by the QM method. Finally, the rate coefficients were computed for the temperatures in the range of 10–10,000 K.

The radiative association cross-sections for the $\Lambda^{2}\Pi \rightarrow X^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ transitions are large at low collision energies (<0.1 eV). As the collision energies increase, the cross-sections for the $1^{2}\Delta \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}\Pi$, $1^{2}\Pi \leftrightarrow X^{2}\Sigma^{+}$, and $2^{2}\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}\Pi$ transitions are dominant due to the barrier on the PECs. Similarly, the rate coefficients are dominated by the $\Lambda^{2}\Pi \rightarrow X^{2}\Sigma^{+}$, $1^{2}\Delta \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}\Pi$, $1^{2}\Pi \rightarrow X^{2}\Sigma^{+}$, and $2^{2}\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}\Pi$ transitions, where the $\Lambda^{2}\Pi \rightarrow X^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ transition is dominant at low temperatures (<390 K), the $1^{2}\Delta \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}\Pi$ and $1^{2}\Pi \rightarrow X^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ transitions dominate for the temperatures of 390–840 and 990–3530 K, respectively, and the $2^{2}\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}\Pi$ transition is dominant at high temperatures (>3530 K).

The total rate coefficients for the radiative association of AlO are of the order of $2.73 \times 10^{-19}$–1.14 × $10^{-16}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ for the temperatures of 10–10,000 K. The calculated values can be used to investigate the chemical evolution of the aluminium oxide dust formation in the photospheres of the oxygen-rich AGB star.
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