Temperature-dependent direct photodissociation cross sections and rates of AlCl
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Abstract

The photodissociation process of aluminium monochloride (AlCl) plays an important role in modelling the chemistry of the circumstellar envelope. In this work, direct photodissociation cross sections of AlCl have been computed for transitions from the ground X1Σ+ state to six low-lying excited electronic states by using ab initio potential energy curves and transition dipole moments, which are obtained by the internally contracted multireference configuration-interaction method with Davidson correction and the aug-cc-pV6Z basis set. State-resolved cross sections for transitions from 38 958 rovibrational levels (υ″ ≤ 100, J″ < 400) of the ground X1Σ+ state have been obtained for photon wavelengths from 500 Å to the dissociation threshold. Photodissociation cross sections in local thermal equilibrium are evaluated for gas temperatures from 500 to 10 000 K. Using the computed cross sections, temperature-dependent photodissociation rates of AlCl in the interstellar and blackbody radiation fields are determined. The results can be applied to the investigation of the chemical evolution of Al in the envelope of carbon-rich and oxygen-rich stars.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Research on molecular photodissociation dates back to the 1920s and 1930s, when the importance of photodissociation was recognized in astrophysical applications (Eddington 1928; Kirby & Van Dishoeck 1989). Photodissociation can affect the abundances of atoms and small molecules in diffuse interstellar clouds (Kramers & Ter Haar 1989). Photodissociation can lead to the generation of many of the free radicals in cometary atmospheres (Crovisier et al. 1997; Benna et al. 2015; Bieler et al. 2015). More recently, the increasing realization of the critical role of photodissociation in modelling the gas-phase chemistry in various astrophysical media has caused great concern among astrophysicists. Moreover, photodissociation can lead to the generation of many of the free radicals in cometary atmospheres (Crovisier et al. 1997; Benna et al. 2015; Bieler et al. 2015). More recently, the increasing realization of the critical role of photodissociation in modelling the gas-phase chemistry in various astrophysical media has caused great concern among astrophysicists. Moreover, photodissociation can lead to the generation of many of the free radicals in cometary atmospheres (Crovisier et al. 1997; Benna et al. 2015; Bieler et al. 2015). More recently, the increasing realization of the critical role of photodissociation in modelling the gas-phase chemistry in various astrophysical media has caused great concern among astrophysicists. Moreover, photodissociation can lead to the generation of many of the free radicals in cometary atmospheres (Crovisier et al. 1997; Benna et al. 2015; Bieler et al. 2015). More recently, the increasing realization of the critical role of photodissociation in modelling the gas-phase chemistry in various astrophysical media has caused great concern among astrophysicists.

Aluminium monochloride (AlCl) is a molecule of significant astrophysical interest and has been found in a variety of astrophysical objects. Some rotational lines at ν = 0 for AlCl have been detected in the circumstellar envelope of the carbon-rich star IRC +10216 (Cernicharo & Guelin 1987; Agúndez et al. 2012). Agúndez et al. (2012) also evaluated the molecular abundance of AlCl in the inner circumstellar layers of IRC +10216 and reported an abundance relative to H2 of 7 × 10−8. AlCl has also been observed in oxygen-rich asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars. Decin et al. (2017) detected AlCl in the dust formation region of the AGB stars, R Dor and IK Tau, in an attempt to identify Al-containing molecules by the Atacama Large Millimeter/sub-millimeter Array (ALMA) observations, and estimated a lower limit of atomic Al bearing in molecules. The fractional abundance structure [AlCl/H2] was also established by Decin et al. (2017) using a non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (non-LTE) radiative transfer model of the circumstellar envelope (CSE). Moreover, Yousefi & Bernath (2018) predicted the possibility of detecting AlCl in the Sun’s photosphere based on the estimated abundances of 1.73 × 10−6 and 3.16 × 10−7, respectively, for Al and Cl relative to H (Asplund et al. 2009). In addition, Lodders & Fegley (2006) predicted the formation of AlCl in L- and T-type brown dwarfs below 2500 K.

For carbon-rich and oxygen-rich stars, interstellar ultraviolet photons can penetrate into the inner layers of CSEs and form PDRs, which may lead to photochemistry reactions of interstellar molecules. The photodissociation of AlCl is likely to occur by absorbing a photon

\[ \text{AlCl} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{Al} + \text{Cl}. \] (1)

The resulting Al and Cl may participate in the formation of other molecules by radiative association, such as AlF, NaCl and KCl in the carbon-rich star IRC +10216 and AlO in oxygen-rich AGB stars. These molecular reactions, along with other chemical processes, can be used to create a chemical network that can evaluate the concent...
trations of AI-bearing species in these astrophysical environments (Agúndez et al. 2012; Decin et al. 2017).

To the best of our knowledge, there is no previous work on the photodissociation of AlCl. The lack of photodissociation cross sections and rates of AlCl hinders the accurate estimation of its abundance. Here, we have computed the photodissociation cross sections of AlCl for several electronic transitions from a large range of rovibrational levels in the ground \(X^1\Sigma^+\) state. The \(2^1\Sigma^+ ← X^1\Sigma^+\), \(3^1\Pi ← X^1\Sigma^+\), \(2^1\Pi ← X^1\Sigma^+\), \(3^1\Pi ← X^1\Sigma^+\), \(4^1\Pi ← X^1\Sigma^+\) and \(5^1\Pi ← X^1\Sigma^+\) electronic systems are considered. First, the state-of-the-art \textit{ab initio} potential energy curves (PECs) and transition dipole moments (TDMs) are computed, as an input to obtain the state-resolved cross sections for the six electronic transitions mentioned above. Then, the state-resolved cross sections are applied to environments in LTE conditions where a Boltzmann distribution of initial rovibrational levels is assumed. Finally, temperature-dependent photodissociation rates of AlCl dissociated by the interstellar radiation field (ISRF) and the blackbody radiation field are produced.

The layout of this paper is as follows. A brief overview of \textit{ab initio} electronic structure calculations of AlCl and the photodissociation theory is presented in Section 2. The computed PECs, TDMs, state-resolved photodissociation cross sections, LTE photodissociation cross sections and photodissociation rates of AlCl are discussed in Section 3, where we also compare the fitted spectroscopic constants and the computed TDMs with available theoretical and experimental results. Finally, we provide our conclusion in Section 4.

2. THEORY AND CALCULATIONS

2.1 \textit{Ab initio} calculation

The electronic structure calculations were carried out with the MOLPRO 2015 quantum chemical package (Werner et al. 2015, 2020). The symmetry point group of AlCl is \(C_{3v}\). Because MOLPRO cannot take advantage of the full symmetry of the non-Abelian group, all of the calculations were performed with the \(C_{2v}\) subgroup of the \(C_{3v}\) point group. The corresponding relationship between the irreducible representations of both point groups is \(\Sigma^+ → A_1, \Pi → B_1 + B_2, \Delta → A_1 + A_2\), and \(\Sigma ← A_2\). We computed 76 single point energy calculations corresponding to the internuclear distances of 1.4–8.0 Å to obtain the PECs of the ground and six singlet excited states. The step length is 0.1 Å for \(R = 1.4–1.5\) Å, 0.05 Å for \(R = 1.5–1.9\) Å, 0.02 Å for \(R = 1.9–2.4\) Å, 0.05 Å for \(R = 2.4–2.6\) Å, 0.1 Å for \(R = 2.6–6\) Å and 0.5 Å for \(R = 6–8\) Å.

In our calculations, the augmented correlation consistent polarized sextuple Gaussian basis set aug-cc-pV6Z (AV6Z) was used to describe the Al and Cl atoms. The specific calculation process is as follows. The single-configuration wavefunction of the ground \(X^1\Sigma^+\) state of AlCl was generated by the Hartree–Fock calculations, and then it was optimized by the complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) method (Knowles & Werner 1985; Werner & Knowles 1985) to obtain the multiconfiguration wavefunction. In the CASSCF calculations, ten molecular orbitals (MOs) were put into the active space, including six \(a_1\), two \(b_1\) and two \(b_2\), and these MOs correspond to the \(3\ s\ 3\ p\) shell of the Al atom and \(3\ s\ 3\ p\) shell of the Cl atom. The remaining electrons of the Al and Cl atoms were placed in closed orbitals. Finally, on the basis of the CASSCF wavefunctions, the dynamic correlation energies were computed by the internally contracted multireference configuration-interaction method including the Davidson correction (iCNRCl + Q; Knowles & Werner 1988, 1992; Werner & Knowles 1988; Shamasundar et al. 2011).

2.2 Photodissociation theory

The theory of the photodissociation cross sections has been detailed in many previous works (Weck et al. 2003; Loreau et al. 2011; Miyake et al. 2011; El-Qadi & Stancil 2013; Babb 2015; McMillan et al. 2016; Zammit et al. 2017; Patillo et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2020; Bai et al. 2021; Qin et al. 2021). Here, we present a brief overview of this theory. In units of \(\text{cm}^{-1}\), the expression of the state-resolved cross sections for a bound-free transition from the initial rovibrational level \((\nu''J'')\) is given by

\[
\sigma_{\nu'',J''} (E_{ph}) = 2.689 \times 10^{-18} \times E_{ph} \sum_{J} \left[ \frac{1}{2J'' + 1} S_{J'} (J'') \left| D_{\nu'',\nu',J'} \right|^2 \right],
\]

(2)

where \(k''J''\) describe the continuum states of the final electronic state. Here, \(g\) is the degeneracy factor, which is given by

\[
g = \frac{2 - \delta_{\Lambda',\Lambda''}}{2 - \delta_{\Delta',\Delta''}}
\]

(3)

where \(\Lambda'\) and \(\Lambda''\) are the electronic orbital angular momentum projected on the internuclear axis for the final and initial electronic states, respectively. \(S_{J'} (J'')\) represents the Hön–London factor, which is indicated as the dependence of spectral line intensities on the rotational quantum numbers and varies with electronic transitions and rotational branches. There are three single branches (P, Q, R) for singlet transitions. For a \(^1\Sigma \rightarrow ^1\Pi\) transition, \(S_{J'} (J'')\) can be expressed as

\[
S_{J'} (J'') = \begin{cases} 
J''' = J' - 1, & (P\ branch) \\
J'' + 1, & (R\ branch) \\
J'' = J' + 1, & (Q\ branch) 
\end{cases}
\]

(4)

For a \(^1\Sigma \rightarrow ^1\Pi\) transition, \(S_{J'} (J'')\) can be described by

\[
S_{J'} (J'') = \begin{cases} 
(2J'' + 1)/2, & (P\ branch) \\
(2J' + 1)/2, & (Q\ branch) \\
(2J' + 2)/2, & (R\ branch) 
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

Here, \(D_{\nu'',\nu',J'}^{i} (R)\) is the matrix element of the electric dipole transition moment for absorption from the rovibrational levels \(\nu''J''\) in state \(i\) to the continuum \(k''J''\) in state \(f\). It is given by

\[
D_{\nu'',\nu',J'}^{i} (R) = \left\langle \chi_{\nu''J''} (R) \left| D_{\nu',\nu''}^{i} (R) \right| \chi_{\nu',J'} (R) \right\rangle,
\]

(6)

where \(\chi_{\nu',J'} (R)\) is the continuum wavefunction of the final electronic state \(f\), \(\chi_{\nu''J''} (R)\) is the bound rovibrational wavefunction of the initial electronic state \(i\) and \(D_{\nu',\nu''}^{i} (R)\) is the TDM for an electronic transition. The bound and continuum rovibrational wavefunctions were solved by the renormalized Numerov method (Johnson 1977, 1978).

Assuming a Boltzmann population distribution for the rovibrational levels in the electronic ground state, the LTE cross section for photodissociation as a function of both temperature \(T\) and wavelength \(\lambda\) is given by

\[
\sigma (\lambda, T) = \sum_{\nu''J''} \left( 2J'' + 1 \right) \exp \left( - \frac{|E_{\nu'',J''} - E_0|}{k_b T} \right) \sigma_{\nu'',J''} (Q(T)), \tag{7}
\]

where \(E_{\nu'',J''} \) is the rovibrational level \(\nu''J''\), \(k_b\) is the Boltzmann constant and \(Q(T)\) is the partition function, given by

\[
Q(T) = \sum_{\nu''J''} \left( 2J'' + 1 \right) \exp \left( - \frac{|E_{\nu'',J''} - E_0|}{k_b T} \right).
\]

(8)

Based on the calculated photodissociation cross sections, the photodissociation rate for a molecule due to absorption of a photon in an ultraviolet radiation field can be calculated by

\[
k = \int \sigma (\lambda) I (\lambda) \ d\lambda,
\]

(9)
In the radiation field, the intensity is expressed as a function of temperature $T$ and wavelength $\lambda$.

$$I(\lambda, T) = \frac{8\pi c/\lambda^4 \exp(hc/\lambda k_B T)}{1}.$$

This expression was extended to 2000 nm by van Dishoeck & Black (1982) for wavelengths within the range 91.2–200 nm:

$$I(\lambda) = 3.2028 \times 10^{13} \lambda^{-3} - 5.1542 \times 10^{15} \lambda^{-4}$$

$$+ 2.0546 \times 10^{17} \lambda^{-5}. \quad (11)$$

This expression was extended to 2000 nm by van Dishoeck & Black (1982):

$$I(\lambda) = 3.67 \times 10^5 \lambda^{-0.7}. \quad (12)$$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 PECs and TDMs

The computed PECs of seven singlet electronic states ($X^1\Sigma^+, 2^1\Sigma^+, A^1\Pi, 2^1\Pi, 3^1\Pi, 4^1\Pi$ and $5^1\Pi$) are displayed in Fig. 1 along the internuclear distance $R$. These curves are given in cm$^{-1}$ relative to the first dissociation limit of $A^1\Pi$ and $2^1\Pi$. The $X^1\Sigma^+$, $2^1\Sigma^+$, $A^1\Pi$ and $2^1\Pi$ states converge to the $A^1\Pi$ and $2^1\Pi$ states, respectively, at about 65988 cm$^{-1}$, with a shallow potential well of 2435 cm$^{-1}$, which is supported by the NIST Atomic Spectra Database (Kramida et al. 2020). The PECs of the $A^1\Pi$ and $2^1\Pi$ states show good agreement with the NIST database. Moreover, we determined the spontaneous radiative lifetime for the $A^1\Pi$ state to be $2.136$ Å and an estimated dissociation energy $D_e$ of 43037 cm$^{-1}$. The calculated $R_e$ is in good agreement with the experimental values of 2.130 11 Å observed by Wyse & Gordy (1972) and 2.130 1436 Å observed by Hedderich et al. (1993), as well as with the calculated values of 2.140 Å, 2.1374 Å and 2.1283 Å obtained by Langhoff et al. (1988), Brites et al. (2008), Wan et al. (2016) and Yousefi & Bernath (2018), respectively. Experimental estimates for the dissociation energy were given by Bhaduri & Fowler (1934) and Ram et al. (1982). Bhaduri & Fowler (1934) estimated a $D_e$ value of 5.16 ± 0.04 eV from thermochemical measurements. Ram et al. (1982) gave an upper limit for the $D_e$ value of 5.25 ± 0.01 eV from optical experiments. The $D_e$ values are given in Table 1. Good agreement can be observed between our results and the experimental results. Our result for $D_e$ also agrees well with $ab initio$ values of 42752 cm$^{-1}$ and 42055 cm$^{-1}$ computed by Langhoff et al. (1988) and Wan et al. (2016), respectively. Other spectroscopic constants of the $X^1\Sigma^+$ state, especially the harmonic frequency $\omega_h$, are in excellent agreement with the experimental value and previous theoretical values.

The first singlet excited $A^1\Pi$ state is calculated to lie at 38616 cm$^{-1}$ with a weakly bound potential well of 4648 cm$^{-1}$. The relative error with the experiment (Huber & Herzberg 1979) for the $T_e$ value is 362 cm$^{-1}$ (0.93%). The calculated $R_e$ is 2.138 Å, which is 0.014 Å larger than the experimentally determined one of 2.124 Å. As for $\omega_h$, our result is only 0.216 cm$^{-1}$ smaller than the experimental value of 449.96 cm$^{-1}$ (Huber & Herzberg 1979). The rotation constant $B_e$ is predicted to be 0.242 93 cm$^{-1}$. The percentage error for the $B_e$ value is 0.99% relative to the experimental value (Huber & Herzberg 1979). No experimental data are available to compare $D_e$ values. In addition, there is good agreement between the calculated spectroscopic constants and previous theoretical results for the $A^1\Pi$ state. For higher $2^1\Sigma^+$, $3^1\Pi$, $4^1\Pi$ and $5^1\Pi$ states, no experimental data are available for spectroscopic information. The $2^1\Sigma^+$ state has a shallow potential well above its dissociation limit and only supports one vibrational level. The minimum of the potential well is 52945 cm$^{-1}$ at $R = 2.021$ Å. The $3^1\Pi$ state has a calculated equilibrium internuclear distance of 2.510 Å also with a shallow potential well of 2435 cm$^{-1}$, which can carry 19 vibrational levels. The $4^1\Pi$ and $5^1\Pi$ states are calculated to locate at about 65988 cm$^{-1}$ and 77 133 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. Note that the energy difference between the dissociation limit of the $4^1\Pi$ and $5^1\Pi$ states and the first dissociation limit, $Al (3s^2 3p^2 3P) + CI (3s^2 3p^2 3P)$, is larger than that found in the NIST Atomic Spectra Database (Kramida et al. 2020). Hence, the PECs of the $4^1\Pi$ and $5^1\Pi$ states are shifted so as to match the separated atom energy difference in the NIST Atomic Spectra Database in the subsequent calculations of cross sections.

The TDMs of six transitions from the $2^1\Sigma^+$, $A^1\Pi$, $2^1\Pi$, $3^1\Pi$, $4^1\Pi$ and $5^1\Pi$ states to the ground $X^1\Sigma^+$ state for AlCl are calculated and displayed in Fig. 2. To verify our TDM calculations, we compared the TDM values of the $A^1\Pi-X^1\Sigma^+$ transition with the theoretical results calculated by Wan et al. (2016) in Fig. 3. As shown in Fig. 3, these two sets of TDM values show excellent agreement. Moreover, we determined the spontaneous radiative lifetime for the $A^1\Pi-X^1\Sigma^+$ system for comparison with available experimental and theoretical results (Rogowski & Fontijn 1987; Langhoff et al. 1988; Brites et al. 2008; Wan et al. 2016). The radiative lifetime $\tau (\nu'' = 0)$ for the $A^1\Pi$ state is 5.67 ns, which is in good agreement with the experimental value of 6.4 ± 2.5 ns reported by Rogowski & Fontijn (1987) and previous $ab initio$ results of 5.17, 5.9 and 4.99 ns calculated by Langhoff et al. (1988), Brites et al. (2008) and Wan et al. (2016), respectively.
Table 1. Spectroscopic constants of the $X^1\Sigma^+$, $A^1\Pi$, $2^1\Sigma^+$, $3^1\Pi$, $4^1\Pi$ and $5^1\Pi$ states of AlCl along with available experimental and theoretical values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>$R_e$ (Å)</th>
<th>$T_e$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\omega_e$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\omega_{x_e}$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$B_e$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D_e$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X^1\Sigma^+$</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>2.136</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>484.9</td>
<td>2.269</td>
<td>0.2143</td>
<td>43.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calc.$^a$</td>
<td>2.140</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>484.5</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>0.2418</td>
<td>42.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calc.$^b$</td>
<td>2.140</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>484.5</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>0.2418</td>
<td>42.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calc.$^c$</td>
<td>2.134</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>478.13</td>
<td>2.069</td>
<td>0.2408</td>
<td>42.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calc.$^d$</td>
<td>2.1283</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>484.8065</td>
<td>2.069</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>42.584 ± 423$^f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expt.$^e$</td>
<td>2.130 143 36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>481.7765</td>
<td>2.069</td>
<td>0.2439</td>
<td>42.584 ± 423$^f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^1\Pi$</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>2.138</td>
<td>38.616</td>
<td>447.8</td>
<td>8.888</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>43.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calc.$^a$</td>
<td>2.138</td>
<td>38.656</td>
<td>453.0</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>0.2435</td>
<td>44.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calc.$^b$</td>
<td>2.132</td>
<td>38.795</td>
<td>453.0</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>0.2435</td>
<td>44.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calc.$^c$</td>
<td>2.1330</td>
<td>38.22398</td>
<td>454.24</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>0.2435</td>
<td>44.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expt.$^d$</td>
<td>2.124</td>
<td>38.254</td>
<td>449.96</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>0.2435</td>
<td>44.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^1\Sigma^+$</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>2.021</td>
<td>52.945</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>47.53</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>3104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3$^1\Pi$</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>2.510</td>
<td>65.898</td>
<td>486.0</td>
<td>57.53</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4$^1\Pi$</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>2.672</td>
<td>65.988</td>
<td>483.9</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5$^1\Pi$</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td>2.591</td>
<td>77.133</td>
<td>191.1</td>
<td>4.3032</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


3.2 State-resolved cross sections

Based on the theory given in Section 2.2, photodissociation cross sections of AlCl have been calculated for transitions from 38 958 initial rovibrational levels ($v''\leq100$, $J''\leq400$) in the ground $X^1\Sigma^+$ state to five excited electronic states. Cross sections were computed using the theory described in Section 2.2.
Table 2. Dissociation relationship of the $X^1\Sigma^+, A^1\Pi, 2^1\Sigma^+, 2^1\Pi, 3^1\Pi, 4^1\Pi$ and $5^1\Pi$ states for AlCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecular state</th>
<th>Dissociation limit</th>
<th>Energy (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Energy (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$C_5^c$</th>
<th>$C_6^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X^1\Sigma^+$</td>
<td>Al (3s$^2$ 3p$^2$P) + Cl (3s$^2$ 3p$^5$2P)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>196.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^1\Pi$</td>
<td>Al (3s$^2$ 3p$^2$P) + Cl (3s$^2$ 3p$^5$2P)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>196.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^1\Sigma^+$</td>
<td>Al (3s$^2$ 3p$^2$P) + Cl (3s$^2$ 3p$^5$2P)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>196.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^1\Pi$</td>
<td>Al (3s$^2$ 3p$^2$P) + Cl (3s$^2$ 3p$^5$2P)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>196.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^1\Pi$</td>
<td>Al (3s$^2$ 4s$^2$S) + Cl (3s$^2$ 3p$^5$2P)</td>
<td>25 347.756</td>
<td>25 318.42</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>89.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^1\Pi$</td>
<td>Al (3s$^2$ 3d$^2$D) + Cl (3s$^2$ 3p$^5$2P)</td>
<td>32 435.453</td>
<td>36 943.28</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>68.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5^1\Pi$</td>
<td>Al (3s$^2$ 3d$^2$D) + Cl (3s$^2$ 3p$^5$2P)</td>
<td>32 435.453</td>
<td>36 642.75</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>68.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Experimental data from NIST Atomic Spectra Database (Kramida et al. 2020). $^b$ This work. $^c$ Estimated (see the text for details).

Figure 4. State-resolved cross sections of AlCl as a function of wavelengths for transitions from the rovibrational level ($\nu''$, $J''$) = (0, 0) of the ground $X^1\Sigma^+$ state to the excited $2^1\Sigma^+, A^1\Pi, 2^1\Pi, 3^1\Pi, 4^1\Pi$ and $5^1\Pi$ states.

Figure 5. State-resolved cross sections of AlCl as a function of wavelengths for the $2^1\Pi$–$X^1\Sigma^+$ transition from several vibrational levels with the rotational quantum number $J'' = 0$.

Figure 6. State-resolved cross sections of AlCl as a function of wavelengths for the $2^1\Pi$–$X^1\Sigma^+$ transition from the vibrational level $\nu'' = 0$ with several rotational quantum numbers.

as a function of photon wavelengths from 500 Å to the dissociation threshold. Fig. 4 shows a comparison of the state-resolved cross sections from rovibrational level ($\nu''$, $J''$) = (0, 0) for the considered six transitions, including $2^1\Sigma^+ \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$, $A^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$, $2^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$, $3^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$, $4^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$ and $5^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$. The $2^1\Sigma^+ \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$, $2^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$ and $3^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$ transitions contribute significantly to the cross sections of AlCl, while the $A^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$ transition makes little contribution. To exhibit the variation of the cross section with different vibrational and rotational quantum numbers, a selection of the state-resolved cross sections for the $2^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$ transition as a function of photon wavelength is displayed in Fig. 5 for $J'' = 0$ and a set of selected $\nu''$, and shown in Fig. 6 for $\nu'' = 0$ and a set of selected $J''$. As expected, the cross sections tend to larger photon wavelength due to the decreasing photon threshold energy with increasing $\nu''$ and/or $J''$.

3.3 LTE cross sections

The temperature-dependent cross sections in LTE were computed for the considered six transitions mentioned above by equation (7) from 500 to 10 000 K, where a comparison of LTE cross sections at 500 K for each transition is displayed in Fig. 7. At wavelengths smaller than 1500 Å, the photodissociation processes through $3^1\Pi$, $4^1\Pi$ and $5^1\Pi$ states are dominant. As the wavelength increases, the photodissociation processes of the $2^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$, $2^1\Sigma^+ \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$, $2^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$, $3^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$, $4^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$ and $5^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$ transitions contribute significantly to the cross sections of AlCl, while the $A^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$ transition makes little contribution. To exhibit the variation of the cross section with different vibrational and rotational quantum numbers, a selection of the state-resolved cross sections for the $2^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+$ transition as a function of photon wavelength is displayed in Fig. 5 for $J'' = 0$ and a set of selected $\nu''$, and shown in Fig. 6 for $\nu'' = 0$ and a set of selected $J''$. As expected, the cross sections tend to larger photon wavelength due to the decreasing photon threshold energy with increasing $\nu''$ and/or $J''$.
3.4 Photodissociation rates

Fig. 9 shows the calculated temperature-dependent photodissociation rates of AlCl in LTE for all six electronic transitions in the blackbody radiation field, when the temperature of gas was assumed to be the same as the radiation temperature. As shown in Fig. 9, the photodissociation rate increases as the temperature of gas increases for all the electronic transitions considered here. Moreover, the photodissociation rates in the ISRF were investigated (Draine 1978; Heays et al. 2017). In general, the gas temperature is not expected to be above 100 K in the ISRF. Hence, the rates were calculated based on the state-resolved cross sections \((\nu', J') = (0, 0)\) and LTE cross sections below 100 K for the six electronic transitions (see Table 3). The results show the significant importance for the \(3^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+\) transition, which provides about 76% contribution of the total photodissociation rate.

The highest electronic state in this work is considered to be the \(4^1\Pi\) state, which dissociates into the Al \((3^s 2 3^d 2D) + Cl (3^s 2 3p 5^2 P)\) asymptote. Higher electronic states correlating to the higher dissociation limits between the Al \((3^s 2 3d 2D) + Cl (3^s 2 3p 5^2 P)\) and Al\(^+\) \((3^s 2 1\,S_0) + Cl (3^s 2 3p 5^2 P)\) asymptotes may contribute to the cross sections below 3087 Å. Especially, the thresholds of the cross sections for transitions from these higher electronic states to the ground state may provide a significant contribution to the interstellar radiation for wavelengths from 1102 to 1335 Å. Hence, the photodissociation rates calculated here are lower limits.

4. CONCLUSION

At the icMRCI + Q/AV6Z level of theory, PECs and TDMs of AlCl have been constructed and used to perform comprehensive calculations for the photodissociation cross sections of AlCl through \(2^1\Sigma^+ \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+, A^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+, 2^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+, 3^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+, 4^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+\) and \(5^1\Pi \leftarrow X^1\Sigma^+\) transitions. The state-resolved and temperature-dependent LTE cross sections of these six transitions have also been evaluated for a total of 38 958 rovibrational levels \((\nu'' \leq 100, J'' \leq 400)\) of the ground state \(X^1\Sigma^+\) for AlCl and over a large range of wavelengths. The results of LTE cross sections have then been used to compute photodissociation rates of AlCl in the interstellar and blackbody radiation fields. It is hoped that the photodissociation cross sections and rates obtained in this work will be useful for investigating the abundance of AlCl in envelopes around evolved stars, such as carbon-rich and oxygen-rich stars.
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